

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,580	07/03/2001	Jeffrey M. Florczak	55320US028	3056
759	90 10/21/2002			
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel 3M Innovative Properties Company PO Box 33427			EXAMINER	
			MACK, RICK	RICKY LEVERN
St. Paul, MN 5	5133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 10/21/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	X	<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/898,580	FLORCZAK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Ricky L Mack	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>uly 2002</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims	nce except for formal matters, Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	, ,, ,, ,, ,,	roved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applica	tion No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burn See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	,,		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/898,580

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 3-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 3-17 depend from cancelled claim 1. This can be corrected by changing "1" to ---2--- as appropriate.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for radiation sensitive material, does not reasonably provide enablement for a layer of material not radiation sensitive. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification provides details of radiation sensitive materials, but does not list the materials, which constitute an example of a layer of material, which is not radiation sensitive.

Since claim 2 incorporates the limitations of canceled claim 1, this action under 112 includes claims 3-13 which were depended from claim 1 and would have been amended by the examiner if this application was in conditions for allowance.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/898,580

Art Unit: 2873

Ŋ

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: While claims 2 recites a composite image and images that appear under reflected light to float above, below or in the plane of the sheeting, claim 2 does not provided sufficient structure and structural relationship to bring about the functional limitation.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the radiation sensitive material" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Examiner's Comment

- Due to the indefiniteness of the claimed invention, as directed to the rejection under 35
 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, allowability of claims could not be adequately ascertained.
- 7. The continuing data on page 1, line 7, should be updated to reflect the US Patent number for application 09/510428.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky L Mack whose telephone number is (703) 305-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM to 4:00 PM).

Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

RM October 16, 2002

> RICKY MACK PRIMARY EXAMINER